



US009265621B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Voellmicke**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,265,621 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Feb. 23, 2016**

(54) **COMPOSITE VERTEBRAL SPACERS AND INSTRUMENT**

(71) Applicant: **Medos International Sarl, Le Locle (CH)**

(72) Inventor: **John C. Voellmicke, Franklin, MA (US)**

(73) Assignee: **Medos International Sarl, Le Lode (CH)**

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/698,179**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 28, 2015**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0230937 A1 Aug. 20, 2015

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(62) Division of application No. 11/615,077, filed on Dec. 22, 2006, now Pat. No. 9,039,768.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**A61F 2/44** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **A61F 2/4455** (2013.01); **A61F 2/442** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... **A61F 2/4455**  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,743,256 A 5/1988 Brantigan  
5,397,364 A 3/1995 Kozak et al.  
5,609,636 A 3/1997 Kohrs et al.

5,620,458 A	4/1997	Green
5,716,415 A	2/1998	Steffee
6,039,761 A	3/2000	Li et al.
6,117,174 A	9/2000	Nolan
6,159,244 A	12/2000	Suddaby
6,179,875 B1	1/2001	Von Strempel
6,193,757 B1	2/2001	Foley et al.
6,395,031 B1	5/2002	Foley et al.
6,413,278 B1	7/2002	Marchosky
6,761,738 B1	7/2004	Boyd
6,824,565 B2	11/2004	Muhanna
6,833,006 B2	12/2004	Foley et al.
6,835,208 B2	12/2004	Marchosky
6,974,480 B2	12/2005	Messerli
7,056,341 B2	6/2006	Crozet
7,655,042 B2	2/2010	Foley et al.
7,846,206 B2	12/2010	Leonard et al.
7,871,441 B2	1/2011	Eckman
7,993,403 B2	8/2011	Foley et al.
2001/0031968 A1	10/2001	Dorchak et al.
2002/0138146 A1	9/2002	Jackson
2002/0151976 A1	10/2002	Foley et al.
2003/0004576 A1	1/2003	Thalgott
2003/0083748 A1	5/2003	Lee et al.
2004/0106996 A1	6/2004	Liu
2005/0113920 A1*	5/2005	Foley et al. .... 623/17.11
2005/0209696 A1	9/2005	Lin
2006/0025860 A1	2/2006	Li

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

European Examination Report dated Mar. 19, 2014 for EP07855287.4.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Ellen C Hammond

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Baker & Hostetler LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An intervertebral fusion cage that is adapted to contain an inserter within its inner volume during insertion of the cage.

**23 Claims, 20 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

2006/0229729 A1 10/2006 Gordon  
2009/0198339 A1 8/2009 Kleiner

Examination Report dated Mar. 22, 2012 for AU2007336858.  
Search Report dated Jan. 20, 2012 for EP07855287.

\* cited by examiner

FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 1C




FIG. 1D




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B




FIG. 2C





FIG. 3A



**FIG. 3B**




FIG. 3C




FIG. 3D






FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C




FIG. 4D




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 5C




FIG. 5D






FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 6C




FIG. 6D




FIG. 6E




FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7C




FIG. 7D




FIG. 8A




FIG. 8B




FIG. 8C




FIG. 9A




FIG. 9B




FIG. 10A




FIG. 10B



1

## COMPOSITE VERTEBRAL SPACERS AND INSTRUMENT

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/615,077, filed Dec. 22, 2006, which is incorporated herein by reference.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The natural intervertebral disc contains a jelly-like nucleus pulposus surrounded by a fibrous annulus fibrosus. Under an axial load, the nucleus pulposus compresses and radially transfers that load to the annulus fibrosus. The laminated nature of the annulus fibrosus provides it with a high tensile strength and so allows it to expand radially in response to this transferred load.

In a healthy intervertebral disc, cells within the nucleus pulposus produce an extracellular matrix (ECM) containing a high percentage of proteoglycans. These proteoglycans contain sulfated functional groups that retain water, thereby providing the nucleus pulposus with its cushioning qualities. These nucleus pulposus cells may also secrete small amounts of cytokines as well as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). These cytokines and MMPs help regulate the metabolism of the nucleus pulposus cells.

In some instances of degenerative disc disease (DDD), gradual degeneration of the intervertebral disc is caused by mechanical instabilities in other portions of the spine. In these instances, increased loads and pressures on the nucleus pulposus cause the cells within the disc (or invading macrophages) to emit larger than normal amounts of the above-mentioned cytokines. In other instances of DDD, genetic factors or apoptosis can also cause the cells within the nucleus pulposus to emit toxic amounts of these cytokines and MMPs. In some instances, the pumping action of the disc may malfunction (due to, for example, a decrease in the proteoglycan concentration within the nucleus pulposus), thereby retarding the flow of nutrients into the disc as well as the flow of waste products out of the disc. This reduced capacity to eliminate waste may result in the accumulation of high levels of proinflammatory cytokines and/or MMPs that may cause nerve irritation and pain.

As DDD progresses, toxic levels of the cytokines and MMPs present in the nucleus pulposus begin to degrade the extracellular matrix. In particular, the MMPs (as mediated by the cytokines) begin cleaving the water-retaining portions of the proteoglycans, thereby reducing their water-retaining capabilities. This degradation leads to a less flexible nucleus pulposus, and so changes the loading pattern within the disc, thereby possibly causing delamination of the annulus fibrosus. These changes cause more mechanical instability, thereby causing the cells to emit even more cytokines, typically thereby upregulating MMPs. As this destructive cascade continues and DDD further progresses, the disc begins to bulge ("a herniated disc"), and then ultimately ruptures, causing the nucleus pulposus to contact the spinal cord and produce pain.

One proposed method of managing these problems is to remove the problematic disc and replace it with a porous device that restores disc height and allows for bone growth therethrough for the fusion of the adjacent vertebrae. These devices are commonly called "fusion devices".

Designs of intervertebral fusion devices are generally either box-like (i.e., Smith-Robinson style) or threaded cyl-

2

inders (i.e., Cloward style). Smith-Robinson style implants have the advantage of better contact area to the endplates, but rely on a coarse surface texture or teeth to prevent migration once implanted. Insertion then requires over distraction of the disc space to slide the implant in or to provide a smoother implant, which can migrate post-op.

One such box-like design is the Brantigan cage. U.S. Pat. No. 4,743,256 ("Brantigan") discloses an improved surgical method for eliminating spinal back pain caused by ruptured or degenerated vertebral discs by spanning the disc space between adjacent vertebrae with rigid fusion devices, or "cages", having surfaces facilitating bone ingrowth and bottomed on prepared sites of the vertebrae to integrate the implant with the vertebrae and to provide a permanent weight supporting strut maintaining the disc space.

One commercial box-like design is the injection-molded carbon fiber reinforced PEEK (CFRP) cage made by DePuy Spine. However, these cages are difficult to insert because of the interference fit produced between the textured, toothed upper and lower surfaces of the implant and the bony endplates. Simply, the presence of teeth extending from the upper and lower surfaces of the cage make its insertion difficult. In addition, the reinforced PEEK material is brittle and so is prone to breakage when applying impact or torque loads to the implant to overcome tooth-induced resistance during insertion and final positioning of the implant.

Current interbody devices are made from single materials (e.g., machined titanium, or molded and/or machined PEEK). Titanium has the disadvantage of being radiopaque (which can interfere with fusion assessment on x-ray) while also having a high modulus of elasticity (which can stress shield the bone graft). Injection molded CFRP is very brittle and prone to fracture during insertion. Unfilled PEEK is much less brittle but also weaker than carbon-filled PEEK, requiring thicker-walled designs (diminishing space for bone graft). Both PEEK and carbon-filled PEEK are radiolucent.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,761,738 ("Boyd") discloses a modular intervertebral spacer formed from assembled bone-derived components. In particular, Boyd discloses an assembly of vertical planks with cylindrical cross pins. However, Boyd does not disclose the use of non-allograft materials of construction, any companion instrumentation for insertion of the device, nor a method of placing the device first into the disc space and then filling it with a biologic material. Allograft bone is very brittle, and so it is difficult to securely join such pieces together.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,413,278 and U.S. Pat. No. 6,835,208 ("Marchosky") disclose an I-beam shaped implant whose top and bottom surface flex under anatomic loads. There is no interior space to this implant, and Marchosky teaches that two such implants need be implanted in a single disc space. Although a syringe for injecting bone graft around the implant is disclosed, a mating inserter instrument for placing the implant is not disclosed.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,824,565 ("Muhana") discloses implant and instrument designs wherein some of the implant embodiments have planked designs and a mating inserter instrument. However, the disclosed inserter wraps around the exterior of the implant and partially into grooves on the implant. The disclosed implant is derived from bone and is not hollow. The insertion technique disclosed by Muhana requires a cutting tool to prepare a channel for the implant.

US2005/0209696 (Lin) discloses an intervertebral implant system for intervertebral implantation, wherein the system includes a frame having a peripheral wall defining a space therein, and a settable material introducible into the space of the frame. The settable material is a biocompatible load bear-

3

ing material including and not limited to bone, composites, polymers of bone growth material, collagen, and insoluble collagen derivatives. The settable material is injectable into the space defined by the frame. The settable material may have an initial fluid condition wherein the fluid settable material cures to a hardened condition. Lin further includes the steps of accessing the disc space between adjacent intervertebral discs; removing disc material from the disc space; distracting the disc space; preparing the end plates of the adjacent intervertebral discs; inserting the peripheral wall of the frame into the disc space between the adjacent intervertebral discs; and injecting settable material into the space defined by the peripheral wall of the frame and between the adjacent intervertebral discs. Lin teaches that the method may further include the step of connecting each free end of the peripheral wall to one another. Lin teaches that the method further includes the steps of inserting a plurality of frames into the disc space between the adjacent intervertebral discs, wherein each frame defines a space; and injecting settable material into at least one of the spaces defined by the frames.

In summary, the insertion of both smooth and toothed intervertebral cages has proven to be problematic. Whereas toothed cages are difficult to insert, cages with smooth upper and lower surfaces have demonstrated undesirable migration.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

With the availability of an injectable bone graft material, it is appreciated by the present inventor that a fusion cage can now be placed into the disc space in an empty condition (i.e., without pre-packed morselized bone graft) and then filled with injectable bone graft in a minimally invasive manner. This procedure now allows the surgeon to fill the interior of the cage with an insertion instrument during cage insertion in order to create a more secure mating condition with the implant without adding to the overall size of the implant.

Moreover, under such conditions, because the inserter can now occupy space along the length of the cage, it can also be used to ease entry of the cage into the disc space. In particular, the inserter can be provided with a height that is just slightly taller than the cage and with smooth upper and lower surfaces. When the smooth surfaces of the inserter extend to be just proud of the cage teeth, they help distract the disc space during insertion and greatly reduce insertion-generated friction to improve the ease with which the cage is inserted. When the inserter is removed after the cage has been inserted into the disc space, the adjacent bony endplates of the patient will collapse upon the cage, and the aggressive teeth of the cage will engage the bone and effectively prevent migration of the implant. Therefore, the cage and inserter of the present invention overcome the prior art problems associated with conventional toothed and smooth cages by not only allowing for easy insertion, but also providing a firm, migration-resistant grip.

Therefore, this invention improves the ease of insertion and placement of an intervertebral spacer, eliminates damage to the spacer during insertion and placement, resists implant migration, and maintains maximum volume for bone graft within the spacer and surrounding disc space.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a leading end having a right and left ends, a front surface and a back surface, the back surface being adapted for reception of a rod,
- b) first and second support members extending backwards from the right and left ends and terminating in a respective

4

back surface, each member having an upper and lower surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies,

- c) an open trailing end formed by the back surfaces of the support members.

Also in accordance with the present invention, there is provided a method of inserting a fusion cage, comprising the steps of:

- a) providing an intervertebral fusion cage having an interior space,
- b) providing an inserter rod having a distal end and an intermediate portion,
- c) coupling a distal end of a rod to the cage so that the intermediate portion of the cage occupies interior space of the cage,
- d) inserting the cage into an intervertebral space,
- e) withdrawing the rod from the cage, and
- f) adding a flowable graft material to the interior space of the cage.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIGS. 1A-1D are different views of a first intervertebral cage of the present invention.

FIGS. 2A-2B are cross sections of a cage of the present invention having an inserter housed therewithin.

FIG. 2C is a perspective view of an inserter of the present invention.

FIG. 3A is a perspective view of a second embodiment of a cage of the present invention.

FIGS. 3B-3C are exploded perspective views of a first cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 3D is an assembled perspective view of the first cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 4A is a perspective view of a third embodiment of a cage of the present invention.

FIGS. 4B-4C are exploded perspective views of a second cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 4D is an assembled perspective view of the second cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 5A is a perspective view of a fourth embodiment of a cage of the present invention.

FIGS. 5B-5C are exploded perspective views of a third cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 5D is an assembled perspective view of the third cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIGS. 6A-6C are various views of a fourth cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 6D is a perspective view of a leading end component of the present invention.

FIG. 6E is a plan view of a fifth cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIGS. 7A-7C are various views of a planked cage of the present invention.

FIG. 7D is a perspective view of a planked cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIGS. 8A and 8B are views of a planked cage of the present invention.

FIG. 8C is a perspective view of a planked cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 9A is a cross section of a banana cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.

FIG. 9B is a top view of a banana cage-inserter assembly of the present invention.



FIGS. 10A-10B are top views of banana cage-inserter assemblies of the present invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a spinal interbody spacer that is easy to insert, fracture resistant, migration resistant, and Tillable with a flowable biologic material after insertion.

Now referring to FIGS. 1A-1D, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a leading end **1** having a right **3** and left **5** ends, a front surface **7** and a back surface **9**, the back surface being adapted for reception of a rod,
- b) first **11** and second **13** support members extending backwards from the right and left ends, each member having an upper **15** and lower **17** surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies and a proximal surface **18**, and
- c) an open trailing end **19** formed between the back surfaces of the support members.

Upon each of the upper and lower surfaces of the cage, there is provided a plurality of teeth **21**. When the cage is inserted and the inserter is removed, these teeth bite into the adjacent vertebral bodies and thereby resist migration of the cage.

Each of the support members further comprises a side surface **23** extending between its upper and lower surfaces, each side surface having at least one transverse hole **25** therethrough. The transverse hole allows bone growth therethrough, thereby further securing the cage within the intervertebral space.

In some embodiments, the front surface of the leading end of the cage is tapered. This tapered nose **27** can distract the disc space during its insertion into the disc space, thereby providing for ease of insertion.

Also shown in FIG. 1D, the back surface of the leading end of the cage forms a recess **29** for reception of a rod. In FIGS. 1A-1D, the recess is a throughhole. The throughhole may be threaded. As shown in FIG. 2A, coupling of the back surface of the leading end of the cage with the threaded distal end **33** of the rod **32** allows the surgeon to use the rod as an inserter and insert the cage in a minimally invasive manner. In some embodiments (and as shown in FIGS. 1A-1D), the back surface of the leading end of the cage forms a concave recess for reception of a rod. The concave recess is a simple design choice that provides the needed coupling with the rod and allows the rod to have a substantially rectangular cross-section.

In other embodiments, the back surface of the leading end forms a threaded recess for threadable reception of a rod.

As shown, the ends of the leading end and the support members are integrally connected.

In preferred embodiments, the back surfaces of the support members of the cage are used as stabilizers whereby a forward force upon these back surfaces carefully counterbalances the backward force used to withdraw the rod from the cage. This forward force keeps the cage in the disc space during withdrawal of the rod. Preferably then, the back surfaces are configured to stably receive the laterally-spaced extensions that extend from the distal face of the cannula and provide the biasing forward force. In some embodiments thereof, the back surface of each support member has a concave recess providing such stability. However, in other embodiments, the back surface of each support member may be flat.

In use, the "U" shaped implant of FIG. 1A is coupled with the metal inserter **35** of FIG. 2C so that the metal inserter

occupies the interior space of the cage, as shown in FIGS. 2A-2B. Since the inserter is slightly taller than the cage, the smooth upper **37** and lower **39** surfaces of the metal inserter are just proud of the level of the tooth peaks of the cage. These smooth upper and lower surfaces of the metal inserter should therefore be the only part of the assembly that contacts the adjacent vertebral bodies. Therefore, when the implant is inserted, the entire insertion load is borne by the metallic inserter, thereby reducing the chances of damaging the implant. Moreover, since the contact surfaces are smooth, the insertion will be carried out under low friction, thereby increasing the ease of insertion. The cage is then held in place by the extension components **40** of the inserter while the rod component **32** (which is slidably received in annulus **31**) is uncoupled from the cage and retracted out of the annulus **31**. Annulus **31** is then retracted to a position just outside out of the cage, thereby allowing the adjacent bone to contact the cage. The empty cage is then filled with flowable bone graft by introducing bone graft through the annulus **31**.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an assembly comprising:

- a) an intervertebral fusion cage having an interior space, and
- b) a rod adapted to insert the cage into an intervertebral space, the rod having a distal end and an intermediate portion,
- wherein the distal end of the rod is connected to the cage, and wherein the intermediate portion of the rod is housed within the interior space of the cage.

Also in accordance with the present invention, there is provided a method of inserting a fusion cage, comprising the steps of:

- a) providing an intervertebral fusion cage having a leading end having a back surface and a trailing end,
- b) coupling a distal end of an inserter having a slidable rod therein to the back surface of the leading end of the cage,
- c) inserting the cage into an intervertebral space,
- d) withdrawing the rod from the cage.

Now referring to FIG. 3A, in a first preferred embodiment, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a leading end **51** having a right **53** and left **55** ends, a front surface **57** and a back surface **59**, the back surface being adapted for reception of a rod,
- b) first **61** and second **63** support members extending backwards from the right and left ends, each member having an upper **65** and lower **67** surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies, and proximal end surfaces **69**,
- c) an open trailing end **71** formed between the proximal end surfaces, and
- d) a first **73** and second **75** elongate cross-members, each extending from the first support member to the second support member.

Preferably, as shown, the leading end has an arcuate shape. Also preferably, the leading end has a beveled nose **77** defined by converging upper and lower surfaces. This beveled nose facilitates cage insertion.

Preferably, the upper and lower surfaces of the support members are adapted for gripping the opposing vertebral endplates. Preferably, these surfaces contain outwardly extending teeth **79** that provide stability to the cage.

Preferably, each support member has a throughhole **80** extending therethrough and each cross-member has a throughhole **81** extending therethrough. The cross members provide for substantial containment of the injectable bone graft paste and add stiffness to the construct. These holes are adapted for encouraging bone growth therethrough.

As shown, the cage preferably has a substantially rectangular cross-section.

In this embodiment, an inserter rod can anchor into the back surface of the leading end of the cage.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a leading end having a right and left ends, a front surface and a back surface,
- b) first and second support members extending backwards from the right and left ends and terminating in a respective back surface, each member having an upper and lower surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies,
- c) an open trailing end formed by the back surfaces of the support members, and
- d) a strut connecting the first and second support members, the strut being located between the leading end and the open trailing end of the cage, the strut having a back surface being adapted for reception of an inserter rod.

FIGS. 3B and 3C are exploded versions of the cage and inserter assembly, as viewed from the distal and proximal perspectives.

The cage is the cage shown in FIG. 3A. As seen on FIG. 3C, the back surface of the leading end of the cage includes a throughhole **81** adapted for reception of a rod. These holes allow the inserter rod to pass through to the leading end of the cage.

The inserter of FIGS. 3B and 3C includes two components and comprises:

- a) an outer annulus **85** having a longitudinal throughhole **87**, a proximal end portion **89** and a distal end portion **91**, the distal end portion including a distal end surface **93** having an upper surface **95**, a lower surface **97** and a pair of lateral surfaces **99**, each lateral surface having first and second arms **101** extending distally therefrom, each arm having a distal end surface **105** respectively adapted for bearing against a proximal end surface of the cage,
- b) an inner rod **111** slidable within the longitudinal throughhole of the annulus and having a distal end surface **113**, upper **115** and lower **117** surfaces, and upper **119** and lower **121** arms extending from the distal end surface, each arm including a beveled distal end **123** adapted to be coplanar with the beveled nose of the cage, each arm having a width **W** adapted for slidable reception between the support members of the cage.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an apparatus for inserting a fusion cage having a leading end and a trailing end, comprising:

- a) a cannula having a bore therethrough, a distal end face and at least two extensions extending distally from the distal end face, each extensions having a distal end adapted for bearing against the trailing end of the cage, and
- b) a rod slidably received within the bore of the cannula, the rod having a distal end adapted for bearing against the leading end of the cage.

Also in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an assembly comprising:

- a) an intervertebral fusion cage having a leading end and a trailing end, and
- b) an inserter comprising:
  - i) a cannula having a bore therethrough, a distal end face and at least two extensions extending distally from the distal end face, each extension having a distal end bearing against the trailing end of the cage, and
  - ii) a rod slidably received within the bore of the cannula, the rod having a distal end bearing against the leading end of the cage

Lastly, FIG. 3D shows the assembled version of this cage and inserter assembly.

In use, the assembly of FIG. 3D is first constructed. In this assembled state, the arms of the inner rod extend over the cross-members of the cage so that its beveled nose is flush (here, coplanar) with the beveled nose of the cage. The arms of the outer annulus component of the inserter bear against the respective proximal end surfaces of the cage. Next, the distal end of the cage is delivered into the disc space, with the beveled nose of the cage providing distraction of the disc space. The assembly is then moved distally so that the entire cage is within the disc space. Next, the outer annulus of the inserter is held in place as the inner rod component is withdrawn. The bearing of the distal end surface of each arm of the inserter against the proximal end surfaces of the cage during inner rod withdrawal insures that the cage remains in place. Once the inner rod is completely withdrawn, the outer annulus is then moved proximally away from the cage and removed from the patient.

Now referring to FIG. 4A, in a second preferred embodiment, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage substantially similar to that of FIG. 3A, except that there is a single cross-member **131** connecting the supporting members and it is located in the proximal end portion **135** of the cage. In addition, the throughhole **137** of the cross-member is somewhat larger than that of FIG. 3A (and is adapted for reception of a threaded rod). In some embodiments, injectable graft material is delivered through this throughhole **137**.

FIGS. 4B and 4C are exploded versions of the cage and inserter assembly, as viewed from the distal and proximal perspectives. The cage is the cage of FIG. 4A. The inserter assembly is substantially similar to that of FIGS. 3B and 3C, except that the inner rod **141** also has a longitudinal throughhole, and a threaded rod **145** is slidably received within the longitudinal throughhole of the inner rod. The distal end **147** of the threaded rod has a threadform **149** thereon that is adapted to mate with a threadform **149** within the hole **151** in the back surface **153** of the leading end **155** of the cage. The coupling of the threaded rod with the threaded hole on the back surface of the leading end of the cage provides stability for the cage during its insertion into the disc space.

The assembled version of FIGS. 4B and 4C is shown in 4D. Although not shown in FIG. 4D, the threaded distal end of the rod passes through the throughhole of the cross-member of the cage and is received in the threaded hole on the back surface of the leading end of the cage. The coupling of these threadforms provides stability to the cage during insertion. After the cage has been inserted, the threaded rod is disengaged from the threaded hole of the cage. Next, both the threaded rod and the inner rod are simultaneously removed from the disc space, as the outer annulus component of the inserter remains bearing against the proximal ends of the cage to insure that the cage remains in place. Lastly, the outer annulus is removed.

It has further been appreciated that if only the threaded inner rod is removed, then there exists a channel in the insertion instrument through which injectable bone graft material can be injected.

Now referring to FIG. 5A, in a third preferred embodiment, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage substantially similar to that of FIG. 4A, except that there is no cross-member.

FIGS. 5B and 5C are exploded versions of the cage and inserter assembly, as viewed from the distal and proximal and distal perspectives. The cage is the cage of FIG. 5A. The inserter assembly is substantially similar to that of FIGS. 4B and 4C, except that the arms **161** of the inner rod **163** are substantially shorter. The short arm and lack of cross-member allow the inner rod to bear substantially against the back

surface **165** of the leading end **167** of the cage, thereby providing enhanced strength to the assembled design during insertion.

The assembled version of FIGS. **5B** and **5C** is shown in **5D**. The assembled version is used in a manner substantially similar to that of the assembled version shown in **4D**.

In some embodiments, hinges are provided between each end of the leading portion of the cage and each of the support members. These hinges allow the cage to be spread after insertion in order to increase the effective surface area (i.e., footprint) covered by the implant. Increasing the footprint beneficially improves the stability of the construct.

Now referring to FIGS. **6A-6D**, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a C-shaped leading portion **201** having a first **203** and second **205** ends extending backwards, a front surface **207** and a back surface **209**, the back surface having a recess **211** therein adapted for reception of a rod, an upper surface **213** and a lower surface **215**, each of the upper and lower surfaces having a groove **217** extending from the front surface to the back surface for reception of a rail **255**, **257**, and a pivot hole **221** provided in each of the first and second ends that extends from the upper surface to the lower surface,
- b) first **223** and second **225** support members extending proximally from the first and second ends of the C-shaped leading end, each support member having:

- i. an upper **227** and lower **229** surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies,
- ii. a back surface **231**, the back surfaces forming an open trailing end therebetween,
- iii. a front end **235** having a pivot hole **237** which extends from the upper surface to the lower surface,

- c) first **239** and second **241** pivot pins respectfully provided in the pivot holes to pivotally connect each end of the C-shaped leading end and the front end of each support member.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a leading portion having a first and second ends extending backwards, a front surface and a back surface, an upper surface and a lower surface, and a pivot hole provided in each of the ends which extends from the upper surface to the lower surface,
- b) first and second support members, each support member having:

- i. an upper and lower surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies,
- ii. a back surface, the back surfaces forming an open trailing end therebetween,
- iii. a front end having a pivot hole which extends from the upper surface of the support member to the lower surface of the support member, and

- c) first and second pivot pins respectfully provided in the pivot holes to pivotally connect each end of the leading end and the front end of each support member.

Each support member has a bump **245** extending medially from its inside surface **247**. As will be explained later in more detail, these bumps facilitate the splaying of the support members to increase the footprint of the cage.

The insertion instrument of the present invention comprises three components;

- a) a centrally-disposed cylindrical rod **251**,
- b) a pair of laterally disposed cage holders **253**, and
- c) upper **255** and lower **257** rails.

The cylindrical rod is adapted to fit within the open recess formed between the two support members. The rod comprises an enlarged head **259** having an annular recess **261** therebehind, a distal threaded portion **263** and an intermediate por-

tion **265**. The annular recess corresponds in shape and dimension to the bumps **245** situated on the inside surfaces of the support members. The distal threaded portion is adapted to be threadably received in the recess of the C-shaped leading portion of the cage, thereby securing the instrument to the cage. The intermediate portion of the rod is housed within the interior space of the cage.

In use, after cage insertion, the threaded portion is unthreaded to free the rod for proximal movement in respect of the cage. When the head of the cylindrical rod is moved backward so as to be removed from the cage, the hinged support members are forced to pivot outwards to increase the footprint of the cage. The closer these bumps are situated to the arcuate leading end of the cage, the greater the splay of the support members. The cylindrical rod is the first instrument component to be removed from the disc space.

The front ends **267** of the upper **255** and lower **257** rails are adapted to fit within the upper and lower grooves provided on the C-shaped leading portion of the cage, while the posterior portion of the rail is adapted to bear against the upper and lower surfaces of the cylindrical rod. Each rail has a thickness **T** that allows the rail to extend beyond the respective upper and lower surfaces of both the C-shaped leading portion and the support members of the cage. Further, the outer surfaces **271** of the rails are smooth. Because the smooth rails extend beyond the upper and lower surfaces of the cage, they provide a smooth insertion of the cage-instrument assembly into the disc space. For additional ease of insertion, the front end of each rail may be provided with a taper **272** that essentially extends from the taper of the front nose of the C-shaped leading portion of the cage. The rails are the second instrument component to be removed from the disc space.

Therefore, in accordance with the present invention, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage comprising,

- a) a leading end and a trailing end,
- b) first and second longitudinal support members extending between each end, each member having an upper and lower surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies, each upper and lower surface having a longitudinal groove therein, and
- c) a plurality of rails, each rail having a smooth outer surface and each rail received in a respective groove of the support member and extending out of the respective groove.

The pair of laterally disposed cage holders are disposed upon each side of the cylindrical rod. Each cage holder has a front end **273** adapted to bear against the back surface of each support member portion of the cage. These front ends prevent the cage from moving back when the cylindrical rod and the rails are removed. The cage holders are the third and last instrument component to be removed from the disc space.

Now referring to FIG. **6E**, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a leading end having a right and left ends, a front surface and a back surface, the back surface being adapted for reception of a rod,
- b) first and second support members **281**, each member having a distal end **283** and widened proximal end **285**, and an upper and lower surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies,
- c) an open trailing end formed between the proximal ends, and
- d) first and second hinges respectfully provided between each end of the leading end and the distal end of each support member.

As seen in FIG. **6E**, each support member has a triangular cross-section. This configuration allows the proximal ends of the support members to extend inward to produce a narrowing

11

at the proximal end. In addition, the inserter that fits within the inner space of the cage has a corresponding triangular shape. Thus, upon retraction of the inserter from the cage, the greater width of the distal end of the inserter pushes the proximal ends of the support members outward, thereby expanding the cross-section of the cage. The enlarged footprint of this expanded design beneficially provides stabilization of the construct. It also beneficially moves the struts towards the more dense bone on the periphery of the endplates.

Now referring to FIGS. 7A-7B, there is provided an implant **301** for promoting bone fusion between adjacent vertebral bodies, said implant having an exterior surface **303**, a longitudinal axis and comprising an assembly of components, the components comprising:

- a) a first strut **305** having a first bone-engaging portion **307**, an opposite second bone-engaging portion **309**,
- b) a second strut **311** spaced from said first strut, said second strut having a third bone-engaging portion **313**, an opposite fourth bone-engaging portion, said second strut in cooperation with said first strut defining an internal space, wherein the first strut and the second strut each include an aperture opening into the internal space;
- c) an elongate cross-member **317** extending from the first strut to the second strut and including a first end engaged within the first aperture of the first strut and a second end engaged within the aperture of the second strut; wherein the elongate cross-member is made of a metal.

As shown, FIGS. 7A and 7B also have d) a third strut **306** spaced from said first strut, said second strut having a third bone-engaging portion **308**, an opposite fourth bone-engaging portion, said second strut in cooperation with said first strut defining an internal space.

FIG. 7C provides a cage having substantial similarity to the cage of FIGS. 7A and 7B, except that it has a rectangular profile.

In preferred embodiments, the cage of FIG. 7C is constructed from parallel spaced planks made of woven carbon-fiber PEEK laminates spaced apart and held together by titanium pins (or "struts"). The spaces between the planks allow a metal instrument to mate with and attach to the pin component of the implant.

Now referring to FIG. 7D, the metallic insertion instrument (or "inserter") **319** mates to the pins between the PEEK planks to form an assembly that can be easily inserted into and manipulated within the disc space. The inserter has smooth upper **321** and lower **323** surfaces that extend beyond the teeth **325** of the implant to provide for an easy insertion. The inserter also has a bullet nose **327** to provide distraction. The implant is inserted into the disc space in an orientation that allows the planks to be substantially perpendicular to the patient's vertebral endplates.

The composite nature of this implant in conjunction with the mating condition of the inserter instrument will also allow for very forceful manipulation of the implant during insertion without damaging the implant. In essence, the PEEK laminates are not loaded by the insertion force.

Since the pins **317** are mainly in compression between the inserter components, the inserter will carry the majority of the insertion load. To the extent the pins **317** carry any impaction force, the pins are advantageously made of a high strength, ductile metal such as titanium, and are preferably a titanium alloy, and so can bear such loads. The metallic nature of the pins allows for forceful manipulation of the assembly during insertion into the disc space. At the same time, selection of a somewhat flexible polymer such as PEEK for the material of construction of the laminate components avoids the stress shielding issues associated with purely titanium cage designs.

12

Selection of the woven carbon fiber (as opposed to chopped carbon fiber) will enhance the strength of the PEEK composite.

FIG. 7C shows a cage made of three parallel planks held together by three titanium-based pins. However, in other embodiments, only two planks may be used to construct the cage. Likewise, more than three planks may also be used to construct the cage.

In some embodiments, as in FIG. 7A, the struts **305**, **311** can have domed upper and lower surfaces in order to conform to the shape of the vertebral endplates. However, in other embodiments, the upper and lower surfaces of the struts are flat (as in FIG. 7C) and the inserter instrument is provided with a bullet nose **327** that extends distal of the implant (as in FIG. 7D).

In some embodiments, the struts have additional side holes (not shown), wherein those side holes are not engaged by a cross-pin. The presence of these holes will enhance bone ingrowth through the struts.

In some embodiments, the upper and lower surfaces of the struts have a plurality of fine teeth **325** extending therefrom to resist implant migration.

Now referring to FIGS. 8A-8C, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage, comprising:

- a) a first strut **351** having first **353** and second **355** sides, an upper bone-engaging portion **357**, and a lower bone-engaging portion **359**,
- b) a second strut **361** having first **363** and second **365** sides, said second strut having an upper bone-engaging portion **367**, a lower bone-engaging portion, the first side of the second strut opposed to the first side of the first strut thereby defining a first internal space,
- c) a third strut **371** having first **373** and second **375** sides, said third strut having an upper bone-engaging portion **377**, a lower bone-engaging portion, the second side of the second strut opposed to the second side of the third strut thereby defining a second internal space, wherein the first strut, second strut and third strut each include a plurality of apertures extending between the respective first and second sides thereof; wherein the first strut, second strut and third strut each include a first opening **383** adapted for bone growth extending between the respective first and second sides thereof;
- d) a first elongate cross-member **385** extending from the first strut to the third strut and including a first end **387** engaged within a first aperture of the first strut and a second end **389** engaged within a first aperture of the third strut, and an intermediate portion **391** engaged within a first aperture of the second strut

In some embodiments, the fusion cage includes smooth retractable rails positioned on the top and bottom of the cage. Now referring to FIGS. 9A and 9B, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage comprising:

- a) a leading end **401** and a trailing end **403**,
- b) first **405** and second **407** longitudinal support members extending between each end, each member having an upper **409** and a lower **411** surface adapted for bearing against and gripping adjacent vertebral bodies, each upper and lower surface having a longitudinal groove **413** therein, and
- c) a rail **415** having a smooth outer surface **416** and received in a respective groove and extending out of the respective groove.

As shown in FIG. 9A, the upper and lower surface of the cage has teeth **419** that extend outward to grip the adjacent vertebral bodies. The rails also extend past the respective teeth of each of the upper and lower surfaces. During insertion of this cage, the smooth rails are the only part of the cage that

13

contacts the adjacent vertebral bodies, and so only a moderate insertion force is required to insert the cage into the disc space. Now referring to FIG. 9B, once the cage is set in place, the rails are proximally retracted by the surgeon (while the back end of the cage is still held by the inserter 421), the adjacent bony endplates of the patient collapse upon the cage, and the aggressive teeth of the cage will engage the bone and effectively prevent migration of the implant. Therefore, the cage and inserter of the present invention overcome the prior art problems associated with conventional toothed and smooth cages by not only allowing for easy insertion but also providing a firm, migration-resistant grip.

In some embodiments, the rails are rigid. Such rigid rails may be conveniently used with substantially straight cages. In some embodiments, the rails are flexible. Such flexible rails may be conveniently used with curved cages such as banana cages.

In some embodiments (not shown), the rail comprises an outer tube having a smooth outer surface and an inner rod. When assembled, the tube and rod have a height that allows the tube to extend outward past the upper and lower teeth of the cage. During insertion, the tube-rod assembly eases insertion load. When the cage has been inserted, the rod is withdrawn and the tube collapses under the force of the tensioning load of the functional spinal unit. Thus, the adjacent bony endplates of the patient collapse upon the cage, and the aggressive teeth of the cage will engage the bone and effectively prevent migration of the implant.

In some embodiments (not shown), the rails can be molded onto the cage as a fast dissolving polymer. During insertion, the smooth rails ease insertion. Once the cage is set in place, the rails dissolve, thereby allowing the adjacent bony endplates of the patient to collapse upon the cage.

In some embodiments, a single smooth cable may act as both an upper and lower rail by wrapping around an end of the cage. During insertion, the smooth rails ease insertion. At this time, tensioning of the cable may serve to hold the cage on the inserter.

Once the cage is set in place, the surgeon pulls a single end of the cable in order to remove the whole cable from the cage. In some embodiments, the cable is coated with a smoothing polymer such as Teflon in order to reduce friction.

The recognition that inserter designs can now occupy the interior volume of the cage has special application in the field of intervertebral fusion cages having a banana shape. In these banana cages, the cage can be made to attach to an inserter at the midpoint of the cage, which is the strongest portion of the cage.

Now referring to FIG. 10A, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage having:

- a) a convex anterior surface 451 and a concave posterior surface 453,
  - b) an upper bone engaging surface 455 and a lower bone engaging surface (not shown),
  - c) leading 459 and trailing 461 side surfaces,
  - d) a strut 463 connecting the convex anterior surface and the concave posterior surface and thereby forming leading 464 and trailing 466 spaces,
- wherein the strut has a threaded recess opening 465 onto the trailing space for reception of a threaded distal end of an inserter and,
- wherein the trailing side surface has a throughhole 467 there-through for slidable reception of the inserter.

Now referring to FIG. 10B, there is provided an intervertebral fusion cage having substantial similarity to that of FIG. 10A, except that its leading side surface also has a throughhole 469 adapted for slidable reception of a rail.

14

Typically, the inserter of the present invention can be made out of any material commonly used in medical instruments. If the inserter is designed to be reusable, then it is preferred that all of its components be made of stainless steel. If the device is designed to be disposable, then it is preferred that at least some of the components be made of plastic. Preferably, at least one component of the inserter is sterilized. More preferably, each component is sterilized.

The intervertebral fusion cage of the present invention may be manufactured from any biocompatible material commonly used in interbody fusion procedures. In some embodiments, the cage is made from a composite comprising 40-99% polyarylethyl ketone PAEK, and 1-60% carbon fiber. Such a cage is radiolucent. Preferably, the polyarylethyl ketone PAEK is selected from the group consisting of polyetherether ketone PEEK, polyether ketone ketone PEKK, polyether ketone ether ketone ketone PEKEKK, and polyether ketone PEK. Preferably, cage is made from woven, long carbon fiber laminates. Preferably, the PAEK and carbon fiber are homogeneously mixed. Preferably, the composite consists essentially of PAEK and carbon fiber. Preferably, the composite comprises 60-80 wt % PAEK and 20-40 wt % carbon fiber, more preferably 65-75 wt % PAEK and 25-35 wt % carbon fiber. In some embodiments, the cage is made from materials used in carbon fibers cages marketed by DePuy Spine, Raynham, Mass., USA. In some embodiments, the composite is PEEK-OPTIMA™, available from Invibio of Greenville, N.C.

In other embodiments, the cage is made from a metal such as titanium alloy, such as Ti-6Al-4. In other embodiments, the cage is made from an allograft material. In some embodiments, the cage is made from ceramic, preferably a ceramic that can be at least partially resorbed, such as HA or TCP. In other embodiments, the ceramic comprises an oxide such as either alumina or zirconia. In some embodiments, the cage is made from a polymer, preferably a polymer that can be at least partially resorbed, such as PLA or PLG.

In preferred embodiments, the cage is provided in a sterile form.

In summary, the cage implant of the present invention distracts the disc space during insertion. It is easy to insert and optimizes clinical performance once in place because it resists migration and subsidence, has an appropriate stiffness for load sharing, is preferably radiolucent and has a shape that is able to contain injected graft material such as growth factors. In addition, the cage is robust over a wide variation of surgical technique because it will not break even when large forces are applied thereto.

The cage of the present invention is compatible with the broad use of injectable paste-like bone grafting materials, such as BMP-containing pastes because it is designed to be inserted empty and then filled with graft in-situ. With the availability of these injectable pastes, cages will no longer require large, contiguous internal volumes to accept morselized/granular bone graft. Spaces can be smaller and more numerous.

The cage of the present invention allows an insertion instrument to occupy the internal volume of the cage so as to minimize the overall size of the inserted cage as well as to bear insertion loads. The inserter can also possess smooth upper and lower surfaces to reduce friction and thereby increase the ease of insertion. The cage of the present invention will not experience large loads during insertion.

I claim:

1. An intervertebral fusion cage comprising:

- a) a leading portion having first and second ends, a front surface having a tapered insertion nose and a back surface opposite the front surface, the back surface having

15

a recess therein adapted for reception of a rod, an upper surface and a lower surface opposite the upper surface, wherein the leading portion defines a first pivot hole at the first end, the leading portion defines a second pivot hole at the second end, and each of the first and second pivot holes extend from the upper surface to the lower surface;

- b) first and second support members extending from the first and second ends, respectively, each support member having 1) an upper surface and a lower surface configured to bear against and grip adjacent vertebral bodies, and 2) a front end having a pivot hole that extends from the upper surface to the lower surface;
- c) a first pivot pin disposed in both the first pivot hole of the leading portion and the pivot hole of the front end of the first support member, thereby pivotally connecting the first support member to the leading portion; and
- d) a second pivot pin disposed in both the second pivot hole of the leading portion and the pivot hole of the front end of the second support member, thereby pivotally connecting the second support member to the leading portion,

wherein the first and second support members are configured to pivot outwards about the respective first and second pivot pins to increase a footprint of the cage.

2. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 1, wherein the first and second ends of the leading portion are spaced from each other along a first direction, and the front surface of the leading portion at the tapered nose is curved as it extends along the first direction.

3. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 2, wherein the lower surface of the leading portion is opposite the upper surface of the leading portion along a second direction that is perpendicular to the first direction, and the nose is tapered along a plane that is defined by the first direction and a third direction that is perpendicular to each of the first and second directions.

4. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 1, wherein the first and second support members define a space therebetween, the space sized to receive the rod when the rod extends into the recess.

5. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 4, wherein the recess is threaded.

6. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 1, wherein the footprint is defined along a plane that is normal to a direction of elongation of each of the first and second pivot pins.

7. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 1, wherein the first and second support members are spaced from each other along a first direction, the upper and lower surfaces of each of the first and second support members are spaced from each other along a second direction perpendicular to the first direction, and the footprint is defined along a plane that includes the first direction and a third direction that is perpendicular to each of the first and second directions.

8. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 7, wherein the first support member extends from the leading portion to a first back surface of the first support member, the second support member extends from the leading portion to a second back surface of the second support member, and movement of the leading portion along the third direction relative to both the first and second back surfaces causes the first and second support members to pivot outwards about the respective first and second pivot pins.

9. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 1, wherein the first and second members define a first position prior to outwardly pivoting about the respective first and

16

second pivot pins, the first and second members define an expanded position after they have pivoted about the respective first and second pivot pins, and the first and second members are oriented parallel to each other when they are in the first position.

10. The intervertebral fusion cage as recited in claim 1, wherein each of the first and second support arms comprise teeth at their respective upper and lower surfaces.

11. An intervertebral fusion system comprising:

an intervertebral fusion cage that is expandable from a first position to an expanded position, the intervertebral fusion cage including:

a leading portion;

a first support member that extends from the leading portion in a first direction when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position, and a first pivot pin that extends into both the leading portion and the first support member so as to pivotally connect the first support member to the leading portion; and

a second support member that extends from the leading portion in the first direction when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position, and a second pivot pin that extends into both the leading portion and the second support member so as to pivotally connect the second support member to the leading portion; and

a rod capable of being disposed between the first and second support members, such that when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position, a portion of the rod is straddled by the first and second support members,

wherein when the rod is disposed between the first and second support members and the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position, movement of the rod in the first direction causes the intervertebral fusion cage to move from the first position to the expanded position, whereby the first and second support members pivot away from each other about the first and second pivot pins, respectively, such that the first and second support members flare away from each other as they extend away from the leading portion.

12. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 11, wherein the rod is configured to be oriented in the first direction as it is moved in the first direction so as to cause the intervertebral fusion cage to move from the first position to the expanded position.

13. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 11, wherein the rod is removably attachable to the leading portion at a location between the first and second pivot pins.

14. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 13, wherein the rod is removably received in a recess of the leading portion when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position and the portion of the rod is straddled by the first and second support members.

15. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 14, wherein the rod is threadedly received in the recess.

16. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 11, wherein,

each of the leading portion, the first support arm, and the second support arm defines a respective upper surface and a lower surface that are configured to bear against respective adjacent vertebral bodies,

the leading portion defines first and second pivot holes that extend from the upper surface of the leading portion to the lower surface of the leading portion,

the first support arm defines a pivot hole that extends from the upper surface of the first support arm to the lower surface of the first support arm,

17

the second support arm defines a pivot hole that extends from the upper surface of the second support arm to the lower surface of the second support arm, the first pivot pin is disposed in both the first pivot hole and the pivot hole of the first support arm, and the second pivot pin is disposed in both the second pivot hole and the pivot hole of the second support arm.

17. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 16, wherein the first and second arms are spaced from each other along a second direction that is perpendicular to the first direction, the upper and lower surfaces are spaced from each other along a third direction that is perpendicular to both the first direction and the second direction, and the first and second pivot pins are oriented along the third direction.

18. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 11, wherein the support arms are parallel to each other when the intervertebral fusion is in the first position.

19. An intervertebral fusion system comprising:

- a) an intervertebral fusion cage that is expandable from a first position to an expanded position, the intervertebral fusion cage including:
  - i. a leading portion;
  - ii. a first support member that extends from the leading portion, and a first pivot pin that extends into both the leading portion and the first support member so as to pivotally connect the first support member to the leading portion; and
  - iii. a second support member that extends from the leading portion, and a second pivot pin that extends into both the leading portion and the second support member so as to pivotally connect the second support member to the leading portion; and
- b) an insertion instrument including:
  - i. an instrument component that is capable of bearing against the intervertebral fusion cage; and
  - ii. a rod that extends out from the instrument component, wherein when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position and the rod is disposed between the first and second support members, movement of the rod rela-

18

tive to the instrument component, while the instrument component bears against the intervertebral fusion cage, causes the intervertebral fusion cage to move to the expanded position, whereby the first and second support members pivot away from each other about the first and second pivot pins, respectively.

20. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 19, wherein,

the first support member extends from the leading portion to a first back surface of the first support member in a proximal direction when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position,

the second support member extends from the leading portion to a second back surface of the second support member in the proximal direction when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position, and

movement of the rod relative to the instrument component in the proximal direction, while the instrument component bears against the intervertebral fusion cage, causes the intervertebral fusion cage to move from the first position to the expanded position.

21. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 20, wherein the first and second arms straddle a portion of the rod when the intervertebral fusion cage is in the first position.

22. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 21, wherein the leading portion has first and second ends, a front surface having a tapered insertion nose and a back surface, and an upper surface and a lower surface each configured to bear against adjacent vertebral bodies.

23. The intervertebral fusion system as recited in claim 19, wherein the rod extends out from the instrument component in a distal direction, and movement of the rod relative to the instrument component in a proximal direction, opposite the distal direction, while the instrument component bears against the intervertebral fusion cage, causes the intervertebral fusion cage to move from the first position to the expanded position.

\* \* \* \* \*